CASA VLBI

WORKSHOP 2020 2-6 NOVEMBER 2020

LECTURE 11: POLARIZATION CALIBRATION



I. MARTI-VIDAL (University of Valencia, SPAIN)





Polarization Calibration in VLBI

AIPS tools and status of CASA tools

Ivan Martí-Vidal

Observatori Astronòmic & Dpt. Astronomia i Astrofísica Universitat de València (GIDEGENT Research Program, GVA)

CASA-VLBI Workshop 2020 - JIVE (Netherlands)





JUMPING JIVE Joint Institute for VLI





Basic Concepts

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

JIVE 2020 – CASA-VLBI Workshop 2/22

3

Dterms: The Root of All Evil





- The signal is split into orthogonal polarizations (e.g., OMTs, reflection gratings, T-septums, ...)
- Polarizations can also be converted in different ways (e.g., quarter waveplates, software).
- None of these devices is perfect. Signals from one polarization are leaked into the other.
- Such a leakage (with a given amplitude and delay/phase) is modelled with the Dterms.

< □ ト < □ ト < □ ト < □ ト < □ ト</p>

The MEq: A Full-Stokes Interferometry Formalism



For a source with a generic (polarized) brightness distribution, the visibility matrix between two antennas a and b (with no DDEs) is

$$\mathcal{V}^{obs}_{ab} = J_a \left[\int_{lpha,\delta} \mathcal{S} \, e^{-rac{2\pi j}{\lambda} (u \, lpha + v \, \delta)} \, rac{dlpha \, d\delta}{z}
ight] (J_b)^H$$
 ,

where J are the calibration Jones matrices (e.g., Smirnov 2011).

<ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

The MEq: A Full-Stokes Interferometry Formalism



For a source with a generic (polarized) brightness distribution, the visibility matrix between two antennas a and b (with no DDEs) is

$$\mathcal{V}_{ab}^{obs} = J_a \left[\int_{lpha,\delta} \mathcal{S} \, e^{-rac{2\pi j}{\lambda} (u \, lpha + v \, \delta)} \, rac{dlpha \, d\delta}{z}
ight] \left(J_b
ight)^H$$
 ,

where J are the calibration Jones matrices (e.g., Smirnov 2011).

Let us remember the classical interferometer equation:

$$V_{ab}^{obs} = G_a G_b^* \int_{\alpha,\delta} I(\alpha,\delta) e^{-\frac{2\pi j}{\lambda} (u\,\alpha+v\,\delta)} \frac{d\alpha\,d\delta}{z}$$

<ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Receiver Frame vs. Sky Frame



$$P_{xy} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi\\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi \end{pmatrix}$$
 $P_{rl} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{j\psi} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-j\psi} \end{pmatrix}$

- The parallactic angle, ψ , is the (time-dependent) rotation of the antenna-mount axis w.r.t. the sky.
- It is deterministic. In VLBI, it's good to apply it before the phase (and delay/rate) calibration.
- The Receiver and Sky frames are related by a rotation $\psi_{tot} = \psi + \psi_0$ (where ψ_0 is the antenna feed angle).



Antenna Feeds with Circular Polarizers



The visibility matrix (Rec. Frame) is $\mathcal{V}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} R_a R_b^* & R_a L_b^* \\ L_a R_b^* & L_a L_b^* \end{pmatrix}$ The brightness matrix (Sky Frame) is $S = \begin{pmatrix} I + V & Q + jU \\ Q - jU & I - V \end{pmatrix}$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

Antenna Feeds with Circular Polarizers

The visibility matrix (Rec. Frame) is $\mathcal{V}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} R_a R_b^* & R_a L_b^* \\ L_a R_b^* & L_a L_b^* \end{pmatrix}$

Receiver Frame and Sky Frame are related by: Rotation matrix: $P(\psi_{tot}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{j\psi_{tot}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-j\psi_{tot}} \end{pmatrix}$ The brightness matrix (Sky Frame) is $S = \begin{pmatrix} I + V & Q + jU \\ Q - jU & I - V \end{pmatrix}$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)



Antenna Feeds with Circular Polarizers

The visibility matrix (Rec. Frame) is $\mathcal{V}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} R_a R_b^* & R_a L_b^* \\ L_a R_b^* & L_a L_b^* \end{pmatrix}$ The brightness matrix (Sky Frame) is $S = \begin{pmatrix} I + V & Q + jU \\ Q - jU & I - V \end{pmatrix}$

Receiver Frame and Sky Frame are related by: Rotation matrix: $P(\psi_{tot}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{j\psi_{tot}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-j\psi_{tot}} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{ab}^{Sky} &= P(-\psi_{tot}^{a})\mathcal{V}_{ab}^{Rec}P(\psi_{tot}^{b})\\ \mathcal{S}_{ab}^{Rec} &= P(\psi_{tot}^{a})\mathcal{S}_{ab}^{Sky}P(-\psi_{tot}^{b}) = \begin{pmatrix} (I+V) e^{j\delta} & (Q+jU) e^{j\Delta} \\ (Q-jU) e^{-j\Delta} & (I-V) e^{-j\delta} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta=\psi^{\rm a}_{tot}+\psi^{\rm b}_{tot}$ and $\delta=\psi^{\rm a}_{tot}-\psi^{\rm b}_{tot}$

4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶ 4 □ ▶



Jones Calibration Matrices. Examples

• Gain,
$$G^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(t) e^{j\phi_x(t)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(t) e^{j\phi_y(t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Bandpass, $B^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(\nu) e^{j\phi_x(\nu)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(\nu) e^{j\phi_y(\nu)} \end{pmatrix}$
• Pol. leakage $D^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & D_R(\nu)\\ D_L(\nu) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Э

< 🗆 🕨

4



Jones Calibration Matrices. Examples

• Gain,
$$G^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(t) e^{j\phi_x(t)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(t) e^{j\phi_y(t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Bandpass, $B^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(\nu) e^{j\phi_x(\nu)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(\nu) e^{j\phi_y(\nu)} \end{pmatrix}$
• Pol. leakage $D^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & D_R(\nu)\\ D_L(\nu) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

• NOTE 1: The Jones matrices are defined in (and should be applied on) the Receiver Frame.



Jones Calibration Matrices. Examples

• Gain,
$$G^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(t) e^{j\phi_x(t)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(t) e^{j\phi_y(t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Bandpass, $B^{Rec} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(\nu) e^{j\phi_x(\nu)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(\nu) e^{j\phi_y(\nu)} \end{pmatrix}$

• Pol. leakage
$$D^{Rec} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & D_R(
u) \ D_L(
u) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- NOTE 1: The Jones matrices are defined in (and should be applied on) the Receiver Frame.
- NOTE 2: For circular feeds, the parallactic-angle correction is a diagonal matrix, which conmutes with G^{Rec} and B^{Rec}. Hence, we do not care much about the difference between receiver and sky frames.





 $\mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = J^{Sky} \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky}$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

▲□▶ < 圕▶ < 置▶ < 置▶ 差 少 Q @
 JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop 8 / 22



$$\mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = J^{Sky} \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot}) \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky}$$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration



$$\mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = J^{Sky} \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot}) \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky}$$

 $J_{a}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J_{a}^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot})$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration



$$\mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} \!=\! J^{Sky} \, \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky} \!\rightarrow \! \mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} \!=\! P(\psi_{tot}) J^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot}) V_{uncal}^{Sky}$$

$$J_{a}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J_{a}^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot})$$

• Gain,
$$G^{Sky} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(t) e^{j\phi_x(t)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_L(t) e^{j\phi_y(t)} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Pol. leakage
$$D^{Sky}=egin{pmatrix} 1 & D_{\mathcal{R}}(
u)e^{j2\psi_{tot}}\ D_{\mathcal{L}}(
u)e^{-j2\psi_{tot}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop 8 / 22

Э

< □ ト < □ ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>



$$\mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = J^{Sky} \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot}) \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky}$$

$$J_{a}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J_{a}^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot})$$

• Gain,
$$G^{Sky} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(t) e^{j\phi_x(t)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_L(t) e^{j\phi_y(t)} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \text{Is independent of } \psi_{tot}$$

• Pol. leakage
$$D^{Sky} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & D_{\mathcal{R}}(
u)e^{j2\psi_{tot}} \ D_L(
u)e^{-j2\psi_{tot}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} ext{ } ext{ } ext{Depends on } \psi_{tot}!$$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Э

< □ ト < □ ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>



$$\mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = J^{Sky} \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{cal}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot}) \mathcal{V}_{uncal}^{Sky}$$

$$J_{a}^{Sky} = P(\psi_{tot}) J_{a}^{Rec} P(-\psi_{tot})$$

• Gain,
$$G^{Sky} = \begin{pmatrix} A_R(t) e^{j\phi_x(t)} & 0\\ 0 & A_L(t) e^{j\phi_y(t)} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \text{ Is independent of } \psi_{tot}$$

• Pol. leakage $D^{Sky} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & D_R(\nu) e^{j2\psi_{tot}}\\ D_L(\nu) e^{-j2\psi_{tot}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \text{ Depends on } \psi_{tot}$

We can use the time dependence of ψ_{tot} to decouple the effects of source polarization (which are constant on the sky frame) from the instrumental polarization (which is constant on the receiver frame).



LPCAL and PolSolve: Algorithms and Conventions

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

VLBI Polarimetry Software



The problem of using spatially-resolved polarization calibrators

Inverse Modelling.

- **LPCAL**: Based on AIPS (Leppänen et al. 1995). Pretty old, but well established and tested.
- **GPCAL**: Based on AIPS/ParselTongue (Park et al. 2020). Overcomes some LPCAL limitations.
- ▶ PolSolve: Based on CASA (I. Marti-Vidal et al. 2020). Overcomes some LPCAL limitations.

Forward Modelling.

EHTim (A. Chael et al. 2018, 2020)

• MCMC.

DMC (D. Pesce 2020) and **THEMIS** (Broderick et al. 2020)



$$\mathcal{V}_l(u, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i}^{N} I_i e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_i + \mathbf{v} \delta_i)}$$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

N 4 JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop 10 / 22

• 4 Э

< 🗆 🕨

Vniver§itat d València





$$\mathcal{V}_l(u,v) = \sum_s \sum_i^{N_s} I_i^s e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_i^s + v\delta_i^s)}$$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop 10 / 22

Э

4 -



$$\mathcal{V}_{I}(u, v) = \sum_{s} \sum_{i}^{N_{s}} I_{i}^{s} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_{i}^{s} + v \delta_{i}^{s})}$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{Q}(u, v) = \sum_{s} q_{s} \left[\sum_{i} I_{i}^{s} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_{i}^{s} + v \delta_{i}^{s})} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{U}(u, v) = \sum_{s} u_{s} \left[\sum_{i} I_{i}^{s} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_{i}^{s} + v \delta_{i}^{s})} \right]$$

 We split the set of CLEAN components into disjoint pieces (a.k.a. subcomponents) of assumed constant fractional polarization.

VNIVERSITAT

ið Valèncl





$$\mathcal{V}_{l}(u, v) = \sum_{s} \sum_{i}^{N_{s}} l_{i}^{s} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_{i}^{s} + v \delta_{i}^{s})}$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{Q}(u, v) = \sum_{s} q_{s} \left[\sum_{i} l_{i}^{s} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_{i}^{s} + v \delta_{i}^{s})} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{U}(u, v) = \sum_{s} u_{s} \left[\sum_{i} l_{i}^{s} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j (u \alpha_{i}^{s} + v \delta_{i}^{s})} \right]$$

• We split the set of CLEAN components into disjoint pieces (a.k.a. *subcomponents*) of assumed constant fractional polarization.

• We fit the antenna Dterms and the subcomponent polarization $(q_s \text{ and } u_s)$ together.

Modelling Polarization Structures I: PolSelfCal





$$\mathcal{V}_{l}(u,v) = \sum_{i}^{N} l_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i}+v\delta_{i})}$$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

JIVE 2020 – CASA-VLBI Workshop 11/22

 Э

< D >

Modelling Polarization Structures I: PolSelfCal





$$\mathcal{V}_{l}(u, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i}^{N} I_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i} + \mathbf{v}\delta_{i})}$$

 $\mathcal{V}_{Q}(u, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i}^{N} Q_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i} + \mathbf{v}\delta_{i})}$
 $\mathcal{V}_{U}(u, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i}^{N} U_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i} + \mathbf{v}\delta_{i})}$

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

4 JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop 11 / 22

4

< □ ▶

Э

Modelling Polarization Structures I: PolSelfCal





$$\mathcal{V}_{l}(u,v) = \sum_{i}^{N} I_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i}+v\delta_{i})}$$

 $\mathcal{V}_{Q}(u,v) = \sum_{i}^{N} Q_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i}+v\delta_{i})}$
 $\mathcal{V}_{U}(u,v) = \sum_{i}^{N} U_{i} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} j(u\alpha_{i}+v\delta_{i})}$

• We only fit the antenna **Dterms**, keeping the full-polarization image model fixed. We iterate the procedure (similar to hybrid imaging of Stokes I).

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

The LPCAL Algorithm



- Fits the Dterms (and source polarization) using a linear approximation (i.e., it does not apply the full Meq) and the slicing approach.
- Computes the error function in the Sky frame.

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i, pol} W_i \left(\mathcal{V}_{mod, pol}^{Sky} - \mathcal{V}_{obs, pol}^{Sky}
ight)_i^2$$

where *pol* can be *RL* and *LR*, and (for visibilities with baseline *a-b*): $\mathcal{V}_{mod,RL}^{Sky}(u,v) = \sum_{s} (q_s + ju_s) \left[\sum_{k} I_k^s e^{2\pi j (u\alpha_k^s + v\delta_k^s)} \right] + \left((D_R^{Sky})_a + (D_L^{Sky})_b^* \right) \mathcal{V}_{obs,l}^{Sky}$ and similarly for LR. The fitting parameters are q_s , u_s , and the Dterms (D_R and D_L for antennas *a* and *b*). All these parameters are linear with $\mathcal{V}_{mod,Rl}^{Sky}$.

Reduces the polarization calibration to a mere *linear least-squares* problem (i.e., just a matrix inversion, and that's it!).

500

The PolSolve Algorithm



- Fits the Dterms (and source polarization) using the full Meq (i.e., second-order approximation; good for very high fractional polarizations and/or Dterms). Uses either the slicing or the polarization selfcal approach.
- Computes the error function in the Receiver Frame.

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i,pol} W_{i} \left(\mathcal{V}_{mod,pol}^{Rec} - \mathcal{V}_{obs,pol}^{Rec} \right)_{i}^{2}$$

where *pol* can be *RL* and *LR*, and (for visibilities with baseline *a-b*): $\mathcal{V}_{mod,RL}^{Rec}(u,v) = \left(\sum_{s} (q_s + ju_s)I_{mod}^s\right) (e^{-j\Delta}) + ((D_R)_a + (D_L)_b^*) \mathcal{V}_{obs,I}^{Rec} + (D_L)_a (D_R)_b^* \mathcal{V}_{obs,LR}^{Rec}$ and similarly for LR. The fitting parameters are the same as with PolSolve.

 Current developments: multi-source calibration, wide-band fitting, graphical interface (for sub-component editing), dealing with Stokes V, linear polarizers, etc.



PolSolve vs. LPCAL

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

13 / 22 JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3



• **Source** (double source):

- **Comp #1:** 1.4 Jy with m = 25.00%, EVPA = 26.57°.
- **Comp #2:** 1.0 Jy with m = 28.43%, EVPA = 70.36°.
- **Coordinates**: J2000 12h30m49.4234 -12d23m28.0439
- Observing frequency: 230 GHz
- Bandwidth: 1 GHz (4 spectral channels).
- **On-source time**: 3 hr (10 scans of 0.3 h each); **Total time**: 12 h.
- Stations (eight EHT telescopes):
 - ALMA, APEX, Arizona, JCMT, LMT, SMA, SPT, PV.
- Noise: $\tau = 0.01$; $T_{sky} = 250$ K; $T_{ground} = 270$ K; $T_{rec} = 50$ K.
- **Dterms**: Random Gaussian amplitude distribution (centered on 7% with $\sigma = 2\%$) and random Uniform phase distribution.

<<p>(ロ) (同) (三) (二)









JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop 15 / 22

Э

< D >





Э



Using PolSolve

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

JIVE 2020 – CASA-VLBI Workshop 15 / 22

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

PolSolve Installation

Download it:

\leftrightarrow \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \bigtriangleup \bigcirc code.launchpad.net/casa-	poltools			☆ 🛪 👅
Aplicaciones 🖿 MARCADORES 📀 Yr - 'Alboraya'	- dai			Otros marcador
CASA-poltools	Answers			🤱 Ivan Marti-Vidal (-martividal) • [<u>Log Os</u>
You can browse the source code for the development focus bzr branch lp:casa-poltools	oranch or get a copy of	the branch using the	command:	New branches for CASA-poltools are Public.
You can push a Bazaar branch directly to Launchpad with the bzr push lp:~i-martividal/casa-poltools/ca	command: sa-poltools			Import a branch
CASA-poltools has 2 active branches owned by 1 person. The last month.	re were 1 commit by 1	person in the		🖉 Configure Code
Bazaar branches				
Branches with status: Any active status 💙 by most interest	sting 🗸			
Name	Status	Last Modified	Last Commit	
Ip:casa-poltools Series: trunk	Development	4 hours ago	42. Solved issue with CASA 5.7. Added mod	
Ip:~i-martividal/casa-poltools/casa-poltools	Development	2019-02-22	2. New features. Adapted for EHT simulat	
$1 \rightarrow 2$ of 2 results			First • Previous • Next •	• Last



16 / 22

PolSolve Installation

Compile and install:

3.2.- Just run in a terminal (from the PolSolve directory):

rm -f *.so
\$CASABASE/bin/python setup.py build_ext --inplace

(where \$CASABASE is the path to your CASA installation).



- Now, it's time to load the task into CASA:
- 4.- Run "buildmytasks" in that directory:

\$CASAPATH/bin/buildmytasks

5.- Edit the file /home/you/.casa/init.py You may need to execute CASA at least once, to have that ".casa" directory with the proper content. In that "init.py", add the lines:

import sys
sys.path.append('/home/you/.casa/PolTools')
execfile('/home/you/.casa/PolTools/mytasks.py')

6.- That's it! You should be able to run the new tasks in CASA! Just doing:

task polsolve or tget polsolve task polsimulate or tget polsimulate

should load the tasks.

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

I. Martí-Vida	I (Universitat de Valèno	cia)		# Po	olarization Calibration	JIVE 2020 -	CASA-VLBI Workshop
				# #	horizontal-vertical frame. One per antenna. Empty list assumes	value a	
					with respect to the local		
	feed_rotation		[]	# F	Rotation of the feed of each ant	enna	
					all antennas are alt-az.		
					Nasmyth Left ('NL'). Default m	eans	
					('XY'), Nasmyth Right ('NR') a	nd	
					az ('AZ'), equatorial ('EQ'),	X-Y	
				#	characters. Supported mounts:	alt-	
				#	mount type is specified with tw	0	
				#	properly imported into the ms.	Δ	
				#	in case the mounts were not	CITED	
				#	ANTENNA table of the ms) lise	this	
				#	given in the same order as the	be	
	nouries	= [AZ ,		, IVI.	ist of the antenna mounts (must] # L bo	
	mounts			# ' '₩	Several fields.	1 # 1	
				#	calibrator. Follows CASA syntax	TOP	
	riela			# 1	Field name (or id) to use as	for	
	fi al d			#	Default = all spws are used.		
				#	or a CASA-like selection string		
				#	be an integer, a list of intege	rs,	
	spw			# 5	Spectral window(s) to be fitted.	Can	
				#	(in bandpass and gains).		
				#	data should already be calibrat	ed	
	vis			# N	Name of input measurement set. T	he	

Vniver§itat d València

I. Martí-Vidal



		# torms	
		# ust as DRSolve.	but for the DI
= [T		. False, True, False	e. True. False. Falsel #]
		<pre># empty list) mean</pre>	ns to fit all DRs.
		<pre># its a-priori va</pre>	lue. Default (i.e.,
		<pre># Otherwise, DR[i]</pre>] will be fixed to
		<pre># fitted if DRS</pre>	olve[i] is True.
		# fitted. The D	R[i] term will be
		# it will tell wh:	ich DR terms are
		<pre># number of anten</pre>	nas). If not empty,
		<pre># ist of booleans</pre>	(length equal to the
			e, True, False, False] # L
		<pre># also be given.</pre>	
		<pre># file, with the</pre>	list pickled, can
		<pre># use in the fit</pre>	t. The name of a
		<pre># values of the</pre>	DL leakage terms to
		# empty, these	are the a-priori
		# to the number o	f antennas). If not
	[] (# List of complex	numbers (length equal
		# also be given.	
		<pre># file, with the</pre>	list pickled, can
		# use in the fi	t. The name of a
		<pre># values of the</pre>	DR leakage terms to
		# empty, these	are the a-priori
		# to the number o	f antennas). If not
	[]	# List of complex	numbers (length equal
		= []	= [] # List of complex # to the number o # empty, these # values of the # use in the fi



CLEAN_models		#	List of CLEAN model files (CCs given
		#	in PRTAB format). Each file will
		#	correspond to a source component
		#	with the same polarization state.
		#	If one number is given (instead of
		#	a list of filenames), a centered
		#	point source (with that flux
		#	density) will be used. If more than
		#	one field is fitted, CLEAN_models
		#	will be a List of Lists (with one
		#	list per field). If names of CASA
		#	IQU[V] model image(s) are given
		#	(i.e., filenames ended with
		#	".model"), these models will be
		#	used and FIXED (i.e., Pfrac, EVPA
		#	and PolSolve will NOT be used). If
		#	equal to "model_column", the model
		#	column (for all correlation
		#	products) will be used.

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

JIVE 2020 - CASA-VLBI Workshop



anita de Velència)		; # # # # # # # # # # # #	components are to be fitted in polarization. If PolSolve[i] is True, the fractional polarization and EVPA of the ith source component will be fitted, together with the antenna Dterms. If False, all Stokes parameters of the ith component will be fixed in the fit. Empty list means to fit the polarization of all the source components. If more than one field is fitted, this will be a List of
PolSolve		+ # # # # # #	measured from North to East. If more than one field is fitted, this will be a List of Lists (one list per field). List of booleans (one per source component) that tell which source components are to be fitted in
Pfrac EVPA		# # # # # #	List of fractional polarizations (one number per source component). Pfrac values must fall between 0 and 1. If more than one field is fitted, this will be a List of Lists (one list per field). List of EVPAs in degrees (one number

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

JIVE 2020 — CASA-VLBI Workshop



parang_correct	ed =	True	# # # # # # # #	If True, the data are assumed to be already corrected for parallactic angle. This is usually the case, unless you are working with data generated with polsimulate with no
target_field			* * * * * * * * * *	parang correction. List of sources to which apply the Dterm (and parangle) correction. It must follow the CASA syntax if a range of field ids is given. Empy list means NOT to apply the Dterms (i.e., just save them in a calibration table). If you want to apply the calibration, DO NOT FORGET TO *ALWAYS* RUN CLEARCAL BEFORE POLSOLVELL

Э

< D >



			#	parallactic angle.	≣ ► ◀ ≣
			#	visibilities vs. difference of	
prot_residuats	-		#	visibilities vs. difference of	
plot residuals			#	If True, plot the residual cross hand	
			#	PCAL, factor)	
			#	assuming timear dependence of	
cruear_approx	=	ratse	#	accuming lippor dependence of	
linear approx		Falsa	#	Tf True, calve the palarimetry by	
			#	(estimated from the best-fit model	
			#	Visibility fractional polarization	
			#	source contribution to the	
			#	1/(1+rewgt_prrac*p), where p is the	
rewgt_pfrac		0.0	#	Modify the weights by	
nount of son		0.0	#	uniform weighting for the fit).	
			#	visibilities (i.e., equivalent to	
			#	Zero means equal weights for all	
			#	natural weighting, but for the fit).	
			#	untouched (i.e., equivalent to	
			#	Unity means to leave the weights	
wgt_power		1.0	#	Power for the visibility weights.	
			#	task.	
			#	want to flag them, run the flagdata	
				THIS DOES NOT FLAG THE DATA. If you	
				this limit will be plotted in red.	
				points with elevations lower than	
min_elev_plot		10.0		In degrees. If plot_parang is True,	
				from the antenna mounts).	
				parallactic angle plus correction	
· · · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			#	the antenna feed angles (i.e.,	
plot parang			#	If True, plot the time evolution of	

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

JIVE 2020 — CASA-VLBI Workshop



load save image save region	
directory: //home/martivWORAREA/EHT_TEST	
region type color pixel center output file type	
vectangle magenta 508,512 € Directory	
SgrA_clean.image-raster Crange magnita 521,512 Unevolv	
Contrage magenta 543,511 MyReg.d59 D59 Region File	_
✓ rectangle magenta 553,511 MyRegions.rg CASA Region File	_
✓ rectangle magenta 591,512	
h di	
Casa region file € ds9 region file pixel :	
output name: MyRegions.rg save	
900.005	
dose Visas open ur	date
Cose every open	inte

First, run (t)CLEAN, set the regions (e.g., with imview) and save them into a file. and estimate de Dterms

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)





Second, execute CCextract (generate CC files from the regions). and estimate de Dterms

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration



	# Solve for Dierms and Source polarization:
Undoing parang correction	polsolve(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
ITER 7. ChiSq 7.084e-04 ; Model Factors: 0 -> 1.000e+00	## The mounts should be given following the order of the ANTENNA table.
Fitting results:	mounts=['AZ' 'NB' 'NB' 'AZ' 'NI' 'NI' 'AZ' 'NI']
FIELD ID 0:	This is the list of section files exected by References.
	## This is the fist of ascil files created by "ccextract":
Source id 0 (POLSIM), Component #0: Pfrac = 1.1783 +/- 0.006 ; EVPA = -3.28 +/- 0.15 deg. (Q/I = 1.170e+00 +/- 6.33	CLEAN_models=ALLCCs,
Source id 0 (POLSIM), Component #1: Pfrac = 0.0607 +/- 0.001 ; EVPA = 34.84 +/- 0.41 deg. (0/I = 2.107e-02 +/- 8.82	## Initial guesses of the polarization state of each sub-component:
Source id 0 (POLSIM), Component #2: Pfrac = 0.5079 +/- 0.004 ; EVPA = -66.59 +/- 0.23 deg. (Q/I = -3.473e-01 +/- 3.4	<pre>Pfrac=[0. for pi in ALLCCS], EVPA=[0. for pi in ALLCCS],</pre>
Source id 0 (POLSIM), Component #3: Pfrac = 0.5037 +/- 0.002 ; EVPA = 22.29 +/- 0.09 deg. (0/I = 3.588e-01 +/- 1.52	## Solve for the polarization of all three sub-components:
Source id 0 (POLSIM), Component #4: Pfrac = 28.9944 +/- 0.206 ; EVPA = 30.28 +/- 0.22 deg. (Q/I = 1.425e+01 +/- 2.1	Polsolve=[True for pi in ALLCCs]
Source id 0 (POLSIM), Component #5: Pfrac = 0.2301 +/- 0.001 ; EVPA = -48.12 +/- 0.10 deg. (0/I = -2.498e-02 +/- 7.5	## Apply the full per linear Dterm model.
	WW Approvine full final brenn model:
Dterms (Right):	tinear_approx=False)
Antenna #0 (AA): Real = 9.58e-02 +/- 5.7e-04; Imag = -8.85e-02 +/- 5.7e-04 Amp = 0.1304 Phase: -42.71 deg.	
Antenna #1 (AP): Real = -3.49e-02 +/- 6.2e-04; Imag = 1.10e-01 +/- 6.2e-04 Amp = 0.1151 Phase: 107.67 deg.	## Change the name of the Dterm CASA table, to keep it:
Antenna #2 (AZ): Real = 1.05e-01 +/- 1.6e-03; Imag = -1.15e-01 +/- 1.6e-03 Amp = 0.1560 Phase: -47.58 deg.	os.system('rm -rf LPCAL.Dterms')
Antenna #3 (JC): Real = 2.81e-01 +/- 1.2e-03; Imag = -1.71e-01 +/- 1.2e-03 Amp = 0.3287 Phase: -31.40 deg.	os.system('cp -r SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms LPCAL.Dterms')
Antenna #4 (LM): Real = -2.62e-02 +/- 5.8e-04; Imag = 2.06e-02 +/- 5.8e-04 Amp = 0.0333 Phase: 141.93 deg.	
Antenna #5 (SM): Real = 1.89e-02 +/- 2.6e-03; Imag = -4.55e-01 +/- 2.5e-03 Amp = 0.4550 Phase: -87.62 deg.	## READ FITTED DIERMS.
Antenna #6 (SP): Real = 2.46e-01 +/- 1.3e-03; Imag = -3.17e-01 +/- 1.3e-03 Amp = 0.4015 Phase: -52.21 deg.	th open('SarA poleimulate ms spy A Dterms')
Antenna #7 (PV): Real = 2.51e-01 +/- 1.5e-03; Imag = -1.73e-01 +/- 1.5e-03 Amp = 0.3049 Phase: -34.55 deg.	DTG = th get = 1(CDAPAN)
	DIS = LD. GECOL(CPARAM)
Dterms (Left):	tb.close()
Antenna #0 (AA): Real = -2.06e-01 +/- 5.7e-04; Imag = -1.12e-01 +/- 5.7e-04 Amp = 0.2346 Phase: -151.46 deg.	
Antenna #1 (AP): Real = 5.65e-02 +/- 6.5e-04; Imag = 2.01e-02 +/- 6.5e-04 Amp = 0.0600 Phase: 19.62 deg.	
Antenna #2 (AZ): Real = -1.57e-01 +/- 1.6e-03; Imag = -2.70e-01 +/- 1.6e-03 Amp = 0.3125 Phase: -120.07 deg.	
Antenna #3 (JC): Real = -2.13e-01 +/- 1.2e-03; Imag = 4.01e-03 +/- 1.2e-03 Amp = 0.2133 Phase: 178.92 deg.	
Antenna #4 (LM): Real = 2.86e-01 +/- 6.3e-04; Imag = -1.68e-01 +/- 6.4e-04 Amp = 0.3314 Phase: -30.41 deg.	
Antenna #5 (SM): Real = -6.82e-02 +/- 2.6e-03; Imag = -1.55e-01 +/- 2.6e-03 Amp = 0.1694 Phase: -113.74 deg.	
Antenna #6 (SP): Real = 5.94e-02 +/- 1.2e-03; Imag = -8.12e-02 +/- 1.2e-03 Amp = 0.1006 Phase: -53.80 deg.	
Antenna #7 (PV): Real = -2.71e-01 +/- 1.2e-03; Imag = 3.50e-01 +/- 1.2e-03 Amp = 0.4432 Phase: 127.74 deg.	
	## DECOVED STMILATED DEEDMS.

Finally, use the CC files with polsolve and estimate de Dterms

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration





Finally, use the CC files with polsolve and estimate de Dterms

I. Martí-Vidal (U	Jniversitat de València)
-------------------	--------------------------



DR Real

DR Imag

DL Real

DL Imag

0.4

TTERATE!!

for i in range(NITER):

```
print '\n\n ITERATION %i\n\n'%i
```

```
## We CLEAN the image, using the visibilities stored in
# the "corrected" column of the measurement set:
 os.svstem('rm -rf SgrA SC clean*')
 tclean(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
   imagename='SgrA SC clean',
   specmode = 'mfs'.
   niter = 200.
   interactive=False.
   imsize=1024.
   cell = '0.000001arcsec',
   stokes = 'IOUV'.
   mask = 'TCLEAN.mask', ## We use the mask that we created manually.
   weighting = 'uniform'.
   deconvolver='hogbom'.
   gain=0.1.
   restart=False)
```

We remove the previous Dterm table: os.system('rm -rf SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms')

Solve for the Dterms: polsolve(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms', ## If we set "target field", the Dterms will not only be # estimated, but also APPLIED to the "corrected" column. # This is ESSENTIAL for the self-cal approach to work: target field='POLSIM'. # Source name ## Antenna mounts (the ordering should be that of the ANTENNA table): mounts=['AZ', 'NR', 'NR', 'AZ', 'NL', 'NL', 'AZ', 'NL'], ## If "CLEAN models" is the path to a (full-polarization) CASA image, ## the self-calibration approach is activated: CLEAN models='SgrA SC clean.model'. # These values are not really used: Pfrac=[0,1, EVPA=[0,1, PolSolve=[False], ## Set this to True, if you want to test the linear Dterm model: linear approx=False)



04

0.2





0.2

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)



ITERATE!!

for i in range(NITER):

```
print '\n\n ITERATION %i\n\n'%i
```

```
## We CLEAN the image, using the visibilities stored in
# the "corrected" column of the measurement set:
 os.svstem('rm -rf SgrA SC clean*')
 tclean(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
   imagename='SgrA SC clean'.
   specmode = 'mfs'.
   niter = 200.
   interactive=False.
   imsize=1024.
   cell = '0.000001arcsec',
   stokes = 'IOUV'.
   mask = 'TCLEAN.mask', ## We use the mask that we created manually.
   weighting = 'uniform'.
   deconvolver='hogbom'.
   gain=0.1.
   restart=False)
```

We remove the previous Dterm table: os.system('rm -rf SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms')





- ◀□▶ ◀鹵▶ ◀重▶ ◀重▶ = ∽੧੧♡



ITERATE!!

for i in range(NITER):

```
print '\n\n ITERATION %i\n\n'%i
```

```
## We CLEAN the image, using the visibilities stored in
# the "corrected" column of the measurement set:
 os.svstem('rm -rf SgrA SC clean*')
 tclean(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
   imagename='SgrA SC clean'.
   specmode = 'mfs'.
   niter = 200.
   interactive=False.
   imsize=1024.
   cell = '0.000001arcsec',
   stokes = 'IOUV'.
   mask = 'TCLEAN.mask', ## We use the mask that we created manually.
   weighting = 'uniform'.
   deconvolver='hogbom'.
   gain=0.1.
   restart=False)
```

We remove the previous Dterm table: os.system('rm -rf SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms')



Pol SelfCal ITER 2

- ◀□▶ ◀鹵▶ ◀重▶ ◀重▶ Ξ ∽੧੧♡

19/22



ITERATE!!

for i in range(NITER):

```
print '\n\n ITERATION %i\n\n'%i
```

```
## We CLEAN the image, using the visibilities stored in
# the "corrected" column of the measurement set:
 os.svstem('rm -rf SgrA SC clean*')
 tclean(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
   imagename='SgrA SC clean'.
   specmode = 'mfs'.
   niter = 200.
   interactive=False.
   imsize=1024.
   cell = '0.000001arcsec',
   stokes = 'IOUV'.
   mask = 'TCLEAN.mask', ## We use the mask that we created manually.
   weighting = 'uniform'.
   deconvolver='hogbom'.
   gain=0.1.
   restart=False)
```

We remove the previous Dterm table: os.system('rm -rf SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms')



Pol SelfCal ITER 3

- ◀□▶ ◀鹵▶ ◀重▶ ◀重▶ Ξ ∽੧੧♡

19/22



ITERATE!!

for i in range(NITER):

```
print '\n\n ITERATION %i\n\n'%i
```

```
## We CLEAN the image, using the visibilities stored in
# the "corrected" column of the measurement set:
 os.svstem('rm -rf SgrA SC clean*')
 tclean(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
   imagename='SgrA SC clean'.
   specmode = 'mfs'.
   niter = 200.
   interactive=False.
   imsize=1024.
   cell = '0.000001arcsec',
   stokes = 'IOUV'.
   mask = 'TCLEAN.mask', ## We use the mask that we created manually.
   weighting = 'uniform'.
   deconvolver='hogbom'.
   gain=0.1.
   restart=False)
```

We remove the previous Dterm table: os.system('rm -rf SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms')



Pol SelfCal ITER 4

- ◀□▶ ◀鹵▶ ◀ॾ▶ ◀౾▶ ౾ ∽੧ੑੑੑੑ

19/22



ITERATE!!

for i in range(NITER):

```
print '\n\n ITERATION %i\n\n'%i
```

```
## We CLEAN the image, using the visibilities stored in
# the "corrected" column of the measurement set:
 os.svstem('rm -rf SgrA SC clean*')
 tclean(vis='SgrA polsimulate.ms',
   imagename='SgrA SC clean'.
   specmode = 'mfs'.
   niter = 200.
   interactive=False.
   imsize=1024.
   cell = '0.000001arcsec',
   stokes = 'IOUV'.
   mask = 'TCLEAN.mask', ## We use the mask that we created manually.
   weighting = 'uniform'.
   deconvolver='hogbom'.
   gain=0.1.
   restart=False)
```

We remove the previous Dterm table: os.system('rm -rf SgrA polsimulate.ms.spw 0.Dterms')



Pol SelfCal ITER 5

- ◀◻▶ ◀∰▶ ◀喜▶ ◀喜▶ 喜 ∽੧ੑੑ©

And finally...





⊒ ▶ 3

SUMMARY



- Instrumental polarization can be decoupled from source polarization thanks to the Earh rotation.
 We *need* it to calibrate our data.
- Polarization calibration in VLBI is tricky (structure effects from the calibrators). Several approaches to account for it:
 - Inverse modelling (i.e., "à la CLEAN"). The only (known) option for CASA is polsolve. Other options (for AIPS/Difmap) are LPCAL and GPCAL.
 - Forward modelling (i.e., "à la MEM "). E.g., EHTim.
 - MCMC methods (i.e., " à la brute-force "). E.g., THEMIS and DMC.
- polsolve is an unofficial CASA task, which applies the calibration directly into the CORRECTED data column (due to CASA limitations in the handling of antenna mounts).
 This is a highly non-standard procedure.
- Several options available: subcomponent fitting (e.g., LPCAL approach) or polarization self-calibration (see, e.g., Cotton 1993).

I. Martí-Vidal (Universitat de València)

Polarization Calibration

THANKS TO OUR SPONSORS:



(□) (□) (□) (□) (□)



JUMPING JIVE Joint Institute for VLBI ERIC





THIS EVENT HAS RECEIVED FUNDING FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION'S HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH AND INNOVATION PROGRAMME UNDER GRANT AGREEMENTS 730562 (RADIONET) AND 7308844 (JUMPING JIVE)

